

Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL  
RECEIVED

JUN - 1 1998

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Federal-State Joint Board on	)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Universal Service	)	
	)	
Forward-Looking Mechanism for	)	CC Docket No. 97-160
High Support for Non-Rural LECs	)	
	)	

**FURTHER COMMENTS OF AMERITECH**

Pursuant to the Common Carrier Bureau's Public Notice in the above-captioned matter,<sup>1</sup> Ameritech<sup>2</sup> submits these further comments on various proposals for high cost universal service support.

**I. DEFINING "HOUSEHOLDS"**

The Commission established in its Universal Service Order that a cost model or study must estimate the cost of providing service for all business and households within a wire center, or, if feasible, smaller areas. The Bureau has indicated that different parties have advocated different interpretations of "households" as used in its sixth criterion; and it encourages parties to submit additional comments on the appropriate universe of "households" that should be used in

---

<sup>1</sup> Public Notice, DA 98-848 (released April 15, 1998).

<sup>2</sup> Ameritech means: Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, and Wisconsin Bell, Inc.

Copy to  
FBI

*OH*

The Commission established in its Universal Service Order a set of criteria that a cost study or model must meet for determining the cost of supported services.<sup>6</sup> In particular, economic lives and net salvage percentages used in such studies must fall within the existing Commission-authorized ranges. The Bureau now requests further comments on the particular values of depreciation lives and future net salvage percentages that should be used in determining the cost of supported services. In addition, the Bureau solicits any discussion or information, including full explanation of any data that falls outside of Commission ranges, that would enable the Commission to determine appropriate depreciation expenses for supported services.<sup>7</sup>

The Commission has traditionally prescribed long depreciation lives for assets used by local telephone companies. Implicit in this past arrangement was the understanding that telephone companies would be able to complete the recovery of the cost of their assets in future rates for regulated service, even after the physical assets no longer have economic value. This implicit subsidy arrangement (whereby current ratepayers subsidize past ratepayers) is no longer appropriate or sustainable in a competitive market. In fact, stranded plant is a legitimate concern for incumbent local exchange carriers in the current operating environment. Certainly, a new entrant will be bound only by its expectations of the marketplace. Therefore, the market must be the primary guide for determining economic lives and net salvage percentages.

In the current rapidly changing economic environment, the use of forward-looking economic lives is more appropriate than the historical based approach used by the Commission. Forward-looking lives should be used because of technological changes, customer demands for

---

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> Notice at 6-7.

new services, and increased competition. Technology changes are occurring so rapidly that equipment placed today will be obsolete well before the plant physically deteriorates. Due to this rapid changing technology, it is economically unreasonable to assume that equipment placed today will or should remain in service as long as the equipment it replaced. Moreover, customer demands drive the placement of new platforms having new capabilities for both incumbent LECs and new entrants. Finally, increased competition also means that a LEC must respond more quickly to the marketplace, requiring that a LEC's network have the latest technologies to adjust effectively to competitive offerings.

The use of asset lives and net salvage percentages within Commission-prescribed ranges are not necessarily appropriate because they are based on a historical mortality analysis rather than a forward-looking analysis. In the past, the Commission regulated depreciation lives and net salvage percentages as a part of the rate base rate-of-return process for setting rates for services. In this prior environment, the assets were retired when they were physically worn out, with the primary cause for the decline in asset value being physical wear-and-tear. Thus, the retirements of the assets formed a reasonable basis for estimating the life expectancy of these assets. However, as wear-and-tear has given way to technological and economic obsolescence, historical retirements are no longer a valid indicator of the economic lives of assets. Even though physical factors were the most readily apparent causes of retirements in the past, other factors such as technological changes are becoming the more frequent causes. Current technological advances have increased the frequency with which obsolescence causes "premature" retirement of existing plant.

The Michigan Public Service Commission ("MPSC"), in Ameritech's TELRIC case, echoes this same premise of premature retirement caused by technological change. The MPSC

calculating the cost of supported services.<sup>3</sup> In addition, the Bureau seeks comment on whether the Commission should require incumbent LECs to provide the universal service administrator with wire center boundary data and the number of residential and multi-line and single-line business lines serviced in each wire center.

The appropriate universe of "households" to include in calculating the cost of supported services is households with telephones. To develop costs for supported services using this universe will permit an adequate recognition of any economies of scale and scope to provide service to existing customers. Consequently, this universe of "households" satisfies the requirements of the Commission's sixth cost study criterion.<sup>4</sup> However, any extension of that universe beyond households with telephones would be inconsistent with the requirement of the Commission's first cost study criterion that wire center line counts and average loop length equal actual data.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, to expand the universe beyond households with telephones would unnecessarily clutter the calculation of the cost of supported services.

In addition, Ameritech does not object at this time to providing to the universal service administrator the number of residential, multi-line, and single-line business lines in service in each wire center. However, wire center boundary data for Ameritech that would permit automatic mapping into the existing proxy model's geographic areas is not available.

## **II. DEPRECIATION**

---

<sup>3</sup> Notice at 4-6.

<sup>4</sup> May 8, 1997, Universal Service Order at 250.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

stated in its rehearing order:

... the Commission is persuaded that the asset lives proposed by Ameritech Michigan are more forward-looking than those that the Commission initially adopted in the July 14, 1997 order. As such, the Commission concludes that they are more reasonable than the FCC prescription lives, which more closely resemble cost-based regulation than TSLRIC principles. The Commission agrees with Ameritech Michigan and the Staff that, in a more competitive environment, the development of new technologies and a greater sensitivity to customers' needs can be expected to stimulate new investment and hasten the obsolescence of existing equipment.<sup>8</sup>

While several of the economic lives approved by the MPSC fall outside the Commission-authorized ranges, their use in developing the cost of supported services is consistent with the Commission's encouragement to states to rely on their proceedings which establish permanent unbundled network element rates.<sup>9</sup> Within this context, the lives or net salvage percentages that are consistent with forward-looking unbundled network element pricing should be permitted.

### **III. REVENUES TO BE INCLUDED AND LEVEL OF THE BENCHMARK**

The Commission determined in its Universal Service Order that the federal high cost support mechanism would fund 25% of the difference between the estimated forward-looking economic cost of providing the supported services and a revenue-based benchmark. In addition, the Commission found that the calculation of any benchmark must be consistent with the method of calculating the forward-looking cost of constructing and operating the network.<sup>10</sup> In particular, the Commission included access and intraLATA toll revenues, but indicated that it would clarify the appropriate amounts to be included in the benchmark. Ultimately, using 1994

---

<sup>8</sup> In the Matter of the Commission's own Motion, to Consider the Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost and to Determine the Prices of Unbundled Network Elements, Interconnection Services, Resold Services, and Basic Local Exchange Services for Ameritech Michigan, Case No. U-11280, January 28, 1998, p. 7.

<sup>9</sup> May 8, 1997, Universal Service Order, ¶251.

<sup>10</sup> *Id.* at ¶267.

data, the Commission suggested that benchmarks might be set at approximately \$31 for residential service and \$51 for business service. The Bureau now seeks comment on the calculation and level of the revenue-based benchmark as well as any cost adjustments that may be needed to maintain consistency between the benchmark and forward-looking cost of the supported services.<sup>11</sup>

The determination of the benchmark and the appropriate economic cost for the high cost fund should be evaluated against Chairman Kennard's "8 Principles" for universal service.<sup>12</sup> These principles provide a solid framework for evaluating alternative proposals for high cost support as well as modifications for the existing federal support mechanism. Ameritech submitted comments on the Common Carrier Bureau's Public Notice on high cost proposals on May 15, 1998.<sup>13</sup> It concluded that the Commission's current plan with a slight modification for rural carriers will size the high cost fund appropriately. Consequently, while the Commission may wish to update the benchmarks using more recent data, no changes should be made in the method of determining the revenue-based benchmarks and the services included in the cost

---

<sup>11</sup> Notice at 8-9.

<sup>12</sup> Address to the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, February 9, 1998.

<sup>13</sup> Public Notice, DA 98-715 (release April 15, 1998).

development. Moreover, developing, reviewing and commenting on the inclusion of additional costs associated with services included in the benchmark, but not captured in the proxy cost models, would only introduce additional delay in the process that could seriously jeopardize timely implementation.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael S. Pabian", with a large, stylized loop at the end.

Michael S. Pabian  
Counsel for Ameritech  
Room 4H82  
2000 West Ameritech Center Drive  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025  
(847) 248-6044

Regulatory Specialists  
Milan V. Holy  
Kent A. Currie

Dated: June 1, 1998  
[MSP0135.doc]

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Todd H. Bond, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Further Comments of Ameritech has been served on the parties listed on the attached service list, via first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 1<sup>st</sup> day of June, 1998.

By: 

Todd H. Bond



ANNE U MAC CLINTOCK  
VICE PRESIDENT  
REGULATORY AFFAIRS & PUBLIC POLICY  
THE SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND  
TELEPHONE COMPANY  
227 CHURCH STREET  
NEW HAVEN CT 06510

PAUL H KUZIA VICE PRESIDENT  
ENGINEERING AND REGULATORY  
ARCH COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC  
1800 WEST PARK DRIVE SUITE 350  
WESTBOROUGH MA 01581

KATHY L SHOBERT  
DIRECTOR FEDERAL AFFAIRS  
GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS INC  
901 15TH STREET NW SUITE 900  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

RANDY ZACH  
TCA INC  
3617 BETTY DRIVE SUITE I  
COLORADO SPRINGS CO 80917

CHARLES C HUNTER  
CATHERINE M HANNAN  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RESELLERS  
ASSOCIATION  
1620 I STREET NW SUITE 701  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

ROBERT HOGGARTH  
PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS  
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION  
500 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 700  
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314-1561

JOSEPH A GODLES  
ATTORNEY FOR  
PANAMSAT CORPORATION  
1229 19TH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

PHILIP V OTERO  
VICE PRESIDENT AND GENERAL  
COUNSEL  
GE AMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS INC  
FOUR RESEARCH WAY  
PRINCETON NJ 08540

RICHARD MCKENNA HQE03J36  
ATTORNEY FOR  
GTE SERVICE CORPORATION  
P O BOX 152092  
IRVING TX 75015-2092

GAIL L POLIVY  
ATTORNEY FOR  
GTE SERVICE CORPORATION  
1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1200  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

LON C LEVIN  
VICE PRESIDENT AND REGULATORY  
COUNSEL  
AMSC SUBSIDIARY CORPORATION  
10802 PARK RIDGE BOULEVARD  
RESTON VA 22091

ROBERT A MANSBACH  
ATTORNEY FOR  
COMCAST CORPORATION  
6560 ROCK SPRING DRIVE  
BETHESDA MD 20817

RAYMOND G BENDER JR  
J G HARRINGTON  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
VANGUARD CELLULAR SYSTEMS INC  
SUITE 800  
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20037

CHRIS FRENTUP  
SENIOR REGULATORY ANALYST  
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP  
1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

DAVID R POE  
YVONNE M COVIELLO  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS HOLDING  
INC  
1875 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 1200  
WASHINGTON DC 20009

MARY MC DERMOTT  
LINDA KENT  
CHARLES D COSSON  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOC  
1401 H STREET NW SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

KATHLEEN Q ABERNATHY  
DAVID A GROSS  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
AIRTOUCH COMMUNICATIONS INC  
1818 N STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JAMES R FORCIER  
AIRTOUCH COMMUNICATIONS INC  
ONE CALIFORNIA STREET 9TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111

RACHEL B FERBER  
VICE PRESIDENT ASSISTANT GENERAL  
COUNSEL  
360 COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY  
8725 HIGGINS ROAD  
CHICAGO IL 60631

ROBERT MC KENNA  
KATHRYN MARIE KRAUSE  
ATTORNEY FOR  
US WEST INC  
SUITE 700  
1020 19TH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JAY C KEITHLEY  
LEON M KESTENBAUM  
H RICHARD JUHNKE  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
SPRINT CORPORATION  
1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1100  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

CRAIG T SMITH  
ATTORNEY FOR  
SPRINT CORPORATION  
P O BOX 11315  
KANSAS CITY MO 64112

THE HONORABLE JULIA JOHNSON  
COMMISSIONER  
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER  
2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD  
TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-0850

STEVE ELLENBECKER CHAIRMAN  
DOUG DOUGHTY DEPUTY CHAIRMAN  
KRISTIN H LEE COMMISSIONER  
WYOMING PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
700 WEST 21ST STREET  
CHEYENNE WYOMING 82002

PAT WOOD III  
ROBERT W GEE  
JUDY WALSH  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS  
1701N CONGRESS AVE  
AUSTIN TX 78711-3326

DAVID A BECKER ESQ  
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL  
COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITIES  
COMMISSION  
1580 LOGAN STREET OFFICE LEVEL 2  
DENVER CO 80203

RICHARD M SBARATTA REBECCA LOUGH  
M ROBERT SUTHERLAND  
ATTYS FOR BELL SOUTH CORPORATION  
BELL SOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
INC  
1155 PEACHTREE STREET NE STE 1700  
ALTANTA GA 30309-3610

LAWRENCE W KATZ  
ATTORNEY FOR  
THE BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE CO  
EIGHTH FLOOR  
1320 NORTH COURT HOUSE ROAD  
ARLINGTON VA 22201

DAVID KAUFMAN ESQ  
NEW MEXICO STATE CORPORATION  
COMMISSION  
P O BOX 1269  
SANTA FE NM 87504-1269

MARK C ROSENBLUM  
PETER H JACOBY  
JUDY SELL  
ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T  
ROOM 3244J1  
295 NORTH MAPLE AVENUE  
BASKING RIDGE NJ 07920

MICHAEL F ALTSCHUL VICE PRESIDENT  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
RANDALL S COLEMAN VP REGULATORY  
CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION  
1250 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 200  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JAMES S BLASZAK  
KEVIN S DI LALLO  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
AD HOC TELECOMMUNICATIONS USERS  
COMMITTEE  
1300 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20036-1703

DAVID A IRWIN  
ATTORNEY FOR  
ITCS INC  
1730 RHODE ISLAND AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

PETER A ROHRBACH  
DAVID L SIERADZKI  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
GE AMERICAN COMMUNICATIONS INC  
555 THIRTEENTH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

LEONARD J KENNEDY  
RICHARD S DENNING  
COUNSEL FOR  
NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS INC  
SUITE 800  
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036-6802

JOE D EDGE  
RICHARD J ARSENAULT  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
PUERTO RICO TELEPHONE COMPANY  
901 FIFTEENTH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

JAMES VOLZ ESQ  
PETER M BLUHM ESQ  
VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC  
SERVICE  
DRAWER 20  
MONTPELIER VT 05620-2601

RICHARD A ASKOFF  
ATTORNEY FOR  
NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOC  
100 SOUTH JEFFERSON ROAD  
WHIPPANY NJ 07981

JAMES ROWE  
ALASKA TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION  
4341 B STREET SUITE 304  
ANCHORAGE AK 99503

DR BARBARA O'CONNOR  
CHAIRWOMAN  
MARY GARDINER JONES PRESIDENT  
ALLIANCE FOR PUBLIC TECHNOLOGY  
901 15TH STREET NW SUITE 230  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

SAMUEL LOUDENSLAGER  
ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
P O BOX 400  
LITTLE ROCK AR 72203-0400

HEIKKI LEESMENT ESQ  
DEPUTY RATEPAYER ADVOCATE  
STATE OF NJ DIVISION OF THE  
RATEPAYER ADVOCATE  
31 CLINTO ST 11TH FLOOR  
P O BOX 46005  
NEWARK NJ 07101

PAUL B JONES  
JANIS A STAHLHUT  
DONALD SHEPHEARD  
TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS  
HOLDING INC  
300 FIRST STAMFORD PLACE  
STAMFORD CT 06902-6732

ANGELA J CAMPBELL ILENE R PENN  
JOHN PODESTA  
INSTITUTE FOR PUBLIC  
REPRESENTATION  
GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY LAW CTR  
600 NEW JERSEY AVE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20001

LINDA KENT  
ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL  
UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOC  
1401 H STREET NW SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20005-2164

KATHERINE GRINCEWHICH  
OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL  
UNITED STATES CATHOLIC CONFERENCE  
3211 4TH STREET NE  
WASHINGTON DC 20017-1194

KEVIN TAGLANG  
BENTON FOUNDATION  
1634 EYE STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

SAM COTTEN  
ALASKA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
1016 WEST SIXTH AVENUE SUITE 400  
ANCHORAGE AK 99501

KENNETH BURCHETT  
VICE PRESIDENT  
GVNW INC/MANAGEMENT  
P O BOX 230399  
PORTLAND OR

DAVID L SHARP  
CEO  
PRESIDENT  
VIRGIN ISLANDS TELEPHONE CORP  
P O BOX 6100  
ST THOMAS US VIRGIN ISLANDS 00801

ROBERT M HALPERIN  
ATTORNEY FOR  
THE STATE OF ALASKA  
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

MARGOT SMILEY HUMPHREY  
ATTORNEY FOR  
THE RURAL TELEPHONE COALITION  
1150 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 1000  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

LISA M ZAINA  
STUART POLIKOFF  
OPASTCO  
21 DUPONT CIRCLE NW SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

DAVID COSSON  
L MARIE GUILLORY  
NCTA  
2626 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20037

HERBERT E. MARKS  
JAMES M FINK  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
THE STATE OF HAWAII  
1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20044

SUE D BLUMENFELD MICHAEL G JONES  
JENNIFER DESMOND MC CARTHY  
ATTYS FOR LORAL SPACE &  
COMMUNICATIONS  
THREE LAFAYETTE CENTRE  
1155 21ST STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

CHRISTOPHER W SAVAGE  
ATTORNEY FOR  
CENTENNIAL CELLULAR CORP  
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW SUITE 200  
WASHINGTON DC 20006

HENRY D LEVINE  
LAURA F H MC DONALD  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
NYCHA MASTERCARD AND VISA  
1300 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JAMES S BLASZAK  
JANINE F GOODMAN  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
IBM  
1300 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20033-1703

ALAN R SHARK  
PRESIDENT  
AMERICAN MOBILE  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION  
1150 18TH STREET NW SUITE 250  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

STEVE HAMLEN  
PRESIDENT  
UNITED UTILITIES INC  
5450 A STREET  
ANCHORAGE AK 99518-1291

RAUL R RODRIGUEZ  
DAVID S KEIR  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
COLUMBIA COMMUNICATIONS CORP  
2000 K STREET NW SUITE 600  
WASHINGTON DC 20554

ELISABETH H ROSS  
ATTORNEY FOR  
THE VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD  
AND THE VERMONT DEPT OF PS  
1155 CONNECTICUT AVE NW SUITE 1200  
WASHINGTON DC 20036-4308

BENJAMIN H DICKENS JR  
GERARD J DUFFY  
COUNSEL FOR THE WESTERN ALLIANCE  
2120 L STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20037

MARIANNE DEAGLE  
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL  
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD  
TOPEKA KS 66604-4027

DAVID HIGGINBOTHAM  
PRESIDENT  
TELETOUCH LICENSES INC  
P O BOX 7370  
TYLER TX 75711

KENNETH D SALOMON  
J G HARRINGTON  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
IOWA TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND  
TECHNOLOGY COMMISSION  
1200 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW STE 800  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

DAVID W DANNER  
SENIOR POLICY ADVISOR  
WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF  
INFORMATION SERVICES  
P O BOX 42445  
OLYMPIA WA 98504-2445

FREDERICK M JOYCE  
RONALD E QUIRK JR  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
OZARK TELECOM INC  
1019 19TH STREET PH-2  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

SANDRA ANN Y H WONG  
ATTORNEY FOR  
SANDWICH ISLES COMMUNICATIONS INC  
PAUAAHI TOWER SUITE 2750  
1001 BISHOP STREET  
HONOLULU HAWAII 96813

MICHAEL H OLENICK  
GENERAL COUNSEL  
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
THE CAPITOL SUITE 1701  
325 W GAINES STREET  
TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-0400

SUSAN LEHMAN KEITEL  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
NEW YORK LIBRARY ASSOC  
252 HUDSON AVE  
ALBANY NY 12210-1802

JIM GAY  
PRESIDENT  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIRECTORS  
IRON WORKS PIKE  
P O BOX 11910  
LEXINGTON KY 40578-1910

PAUL J BERMAN  
ALANE C WEIXEL  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
FIDELITY TELEPHONE COMPANY  
P O BOX 7566  
1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVE  
WASHINGTON DC 20044-7566

ALBERT H KRAMER  
ROBERT F ALDRICH  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
AMERICAN PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS  
COUNCIL  
2101 L STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20037-1526

JEROME K BLASK  
DANIEL E SMITH  
ATTORNEYS FOR  
PRONET  
1400 16TH STREET NW SUITE 500  
WASHINGTON DC 20036

KATH L SHOBERT  
DIRECTOR  
FEDERAL AFFAIRS  
GENERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
SUITE 900  
901 15TH STREET NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

CAROLYN C HILL  
ATTORNEY FOR  
ALLTEL TELEPHONE SERVICES CORP  
655 15TH STREET NW SUITE 220  
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MICHAEL S WROBLEWSKI  
ATTORNEY FOR  
TELHAWAII INC  
SUITE 1300  
1001 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON DC 20004

CHERYL A TRITT  
CHARLES H KENNEDY  
ATTORNEYS FOR SPRINT SPECTRUM  
2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW STE 5500  
WASHINGTON DC 20006-1888